

LINDE field report on CAPE-OPEN Thermo

Andreas Grenner, Linde AG, Enigeering Division CAPE-OPEN 2016 Annual Meeting



Group profile Organisational structure





Linde heritage



S'Carly Ainde





Linde

Linde Engineering Leading market position in multiple segments

THE LINDE GROUP





With around **1,000 process engineering patents and applications** and about **4,000 completed plant projects**, Linde Engineering is supporting the energy and environment megatrend and leveraging customer relationships for gas projects.

Content Linde CapeThermoLinde Why CAPE-OPEN @ LINDE? LINDE physical property infrastructure How we want to work with CAPE-OPEN? COGMPS phase naming caused trouble PMC 1 results at phase boundary Results ropy (F, NF) GMPS of fluid packages files differs for each PME TEST memory leak in certain PME-PMC combination GUI - simulations outside of VLE? Not all PMC support VLLE! transfer - dealing of solid phases - does it work? PME 1 file every PMC supports a different set of properties Pr. Equip. - calculation time (20-30 % more) Workbench

Why and how CAPE-OPEN @ Linde Engineering?

THE LINDE GROUP



WHY CAPE-OPEN implementation :

HOW we want to work with CAPE-OPEN:

improved cooperation with customers & licensers

- ability to use 3rd party thermo packages
- handling of licenser processes
- use of various process simulators

- plug and play usage of different PMCs via LINDE thermo system
- plug and play usage of different PMEs in LE's workflow with LINDE thermo system

using 3rd party thermo and/or simulator in LINDE's workflow

LINDE thermo system









conclusion: CAPE-OPEN is not Plug & Play

phase naming can caused trouble

- odd flash results at phase boundary
- enthalpy, entropy (F, NF)
- without persistence: file structure of fluid packages files differs for each PMC
- memory leak in certain PME-PMC combinations
- simulations besides VLE? Not all PMCs support VLLE!
- dealing of solid phases does it work?
- every PMC supports a different set of properties; need for fallback calculations
- calculation time may be higher
- only few PMCs support persistence

phase naming caused trouble

THE LINDE GROUP



entry on phase list: \rightarrow LiquidLiquid

3.11 CAPE-OPEN Phase List

3.11.1 Phase Details

Permitted phases have been restricted to the following:

Phase	Description
Vapor	Vapor phase
Liquid	Liquid phase
LiquidX	Liquid phase X
Solid	Solid phase
SolidX	Solid phase X
Overall	All phases

Multiple liquid phases can be achieved by using different names for a liquid. All liquid-phase names must start with "Liquid" so that they can be identified as a liquid. Multiple solid phases can be achieved by using different names for a solid. All solid-phase names must start with "Solid" so that they can be identified as a solid. It is advised to use the name "Liquid" for the first liquid phase, and the name "Solid" for the first solid phase. In the above table, X is a place holder for any name, so "LiquidX" could be for example "LiquidWater", "Liquid2", …

Version	Version 1.08.008

- phase naming can caused trouble
- odd flash results at phase boundary
- enthalpy, entropy (F, NF)
- without persistence: file structure of fluid packages files differs for each PMC
- memory leak in certain PME-PMC combinations
- simulations besides VLE? Not all PMCs support VLLE!
- dealing of solid phases does it work?
- every PMC supports a different set of properties; need for fallback calculations
- calculation time may be higher
- only few PMCs support persistence

Linde



odd flash results for PVF flash (DEWT):

- phase naming can caused trouble
- odd flash results at phase boundary
- enthalpy, entropy (F, NF)
- without persistence: file structure of fluid packages files differs for each PMC
- memory leak in certain PME-PMC combinations
- simulations besides VLE? Not all PMCs support VLLE!
- dealing of solid phases does it work?
- every PMC supports a different set of properties; need for fallback calculations
- calculation time may be higher
- only few PMCs support persistence

enthalpy with (F) or without formation term (NF)

THE LINDE GROUP



Trade off using heat of formation in enthalpies:

- heat of formation required for reactors,
- but larger numerical values can cause convergence issues in flowsheet simulation

CAPE-OPEN defines three enthalpies: H, H-F, H-NF

is required enthalpy supported by PMC?



- phase naming can caused trouble
- odd flash results at phase boundary
- enthalpy, entropy (F, NF)
- without persistence: file structure of fluid packages files differs for each PMC
- memory leak in certain PME-PMC combinations
- simulations besides VLE? Not all PMCs support VLLE!
- dealing of solid phases does it work?
- every PMC supports a different set of properties; need for fallback calculations
- calculation time may be higher
- only few PMCs support persistence



- phase naming can caused trouble
- odd flash results at phase boundary
- enthalpy, entropy (F, NF)
- without persistence: file structure of fluid packages files differs for each PMC
- memory leak in certain PME-PMC combinations
- simulations besides VLE? Not all PMCs support VLLE!
- dealing of solid phases does it work?
- every PMC supports a different set of properties; need for fallback calculations
- calculation time may be higher
- only few PMCs support persistence



- LINDE tested 5 PMC and 3 PME with GMPS (as PME resp. PMC)
- in general tests were sucessfully

summary

• in most cases CAPE-OPEN was not plug & play, several problems discovered



Collaborate. Innovate. Deliver.

HIGG

Thank you for your attention.